	Case 2:12-cr-01815-ROS Document 12	Filed 11/13/12 Page 1 of 5
1	wo	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	United States of America,)	No. CR-12-01815-PHX-ROS (BSB)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION
11	vs.	
12	Allan Roderick Manuel,	
13		
14		
15	In accordance with Title 18 U.S.C. § 3142 of the Bail Reform Act, a detention hearing	
16	was held in the above-captioned matter. The Court finds that the Government has	
17	established: (Check one or both, as applicable)	
18		
19	by clear and convincing evidence, Defendant is a danger to the community and shall be	
20	detained pending trial.	
21		
22	by a preponderance of the evidence, Defendant is a serious flight risk and shall be	
23	detained pending triai.	
2425	TARTI FINDINGS OF FACT	
26		
27	prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq., or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	
28		
-		

1			
2 3			
4	-		
5	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable)		
6	(1) The Court finds that credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing		
7	establish by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:		
8	1. Defendant has a significant criminal history, including prior convictions for crimes of		
9	violence, e.g, Robbery in 2001 for which he was given a 11.5 year prison sentence; 2.		
10	Defendant has an unusual infinity for possessing firearms despite being a prohibited		
11	possessor; 3. A Maricopa Count arrest warrant was issued for Defendant on September 6,		
12	2011 for allegedly violating his community supervision imposed on the above Robbery.		
13 14	(2) The Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
15	Defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona;		
16	☐ Defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might		
17	make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance;		
18	☐ Defendant has a prior criminal history;		
19			
20	Defendant has a record of failure(s) to appear in court as ordered;		
21	Defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law		
22	enforcement;		
23	☐ Defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and		
24	a maximum of if convicted;		
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 2:12-cr-01815-ROS Document 12 Filed 11/13/12 Page 4 of 5 1 Defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, 2 and all supplements, if any, except: 3 4 5 6 7 In addition: 8 9 10 11 12 The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services report and 13 all supplements, if any, which were reviewed by the Court at or before the time of the 14 hearing in this matter. 15 PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION 16 IT IS ORDERED that Defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the 17 Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections 18 facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or 19 being held in custody pending appeal. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2). Defendant shall be afforded 20 a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3142 21 (i)(3). Upon order of a court of the United States or request of an attorney for the Govern-22 ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver Defendant to the United 23 States Marshal Service for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court 24 proceeding. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(4). 25 PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE 26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should a review of this detention order be 27 filed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3145, it is the responsibility of the movant's attorney to 28

Case 2:12-cr-01815-ROS Document 12 Filed 11/13/12 Page 5 of 5

deliver a copy of the motion for review to U.S. Pretrial Services, at least, one day prior to the review hearing set before the assigned District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), Fed.R. Crim.P. (2010), a party seeking review shall have **fourteen (14) days** to file a motion for review after being served with a copy of this written order, after the oral order is stated on the record, or at some other time the assigned District Judge may set. Failure to timely file a motion for review in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. Rule 59(a), Fed.R.Crim.P. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the issue of detention may be reopened at any time before trial upon a finding that information exists that was not known to the movant at the time of the detention hearing and such information has a material bearing on the issue whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of Defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community. Title 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f).

DATED this 13th day of November, 2012

Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge